

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nsyolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/495,622	02/01/2000	Richard Leinfellner	019491-004510US	5097	
58301 7590 (2021)828999 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/EA TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAN	EXAMINER	
			VAUGHN, GREGORY J		
8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/18/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Abandonment	09/495,622	LEINFELLNER ET AL.					
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	GREGORY J. VAUGHN	2178					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)  A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does in,			,				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);						
(c)   A reply was received on <u>05 February 2009</u> but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) No reply has been received.							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTCL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTCL-85).							
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T		CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	otice of				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	interest, or all of				
☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.							
☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.							
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:							
See Continuation Sheet							

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

/Stephen S. Hong/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178

/Gregory J. Vaughn/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The examiner contacted applicant's representitive, Gerald T. Gray, by phone on 2/10/2009, to discuss applicant's response of 2/5/2009, wherein an extension of time was filed, but lacking any response to the office action dated 8/5/2008. Applicant indicated the extension of time was filed to keep the application open in order to file a continuation application. Applicant indicated that the continuation application had been filed.